

## $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 7775160 DATE: 9/12/2008 ARRIVE: 11am DEPART: 1135am						
FACILITY NAME: GRUBBS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.						
FACILITY LOCATION:	287 North Maylen Aver	nue				
	LECANTO 34461					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED	REPRESENTATIVE: VIC	CTOR TAGLIA	<b>PHONE:</b> (352)796-	1912		
CONTACT NAME: Ror	n Gibson of Crystal River Qua	urries	PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>D:</b> 4/12/2002 / 4/12/2007 (effective date) (end date)	7 Facility may be op	erating without Entit	lement!		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY  (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ FOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1))  (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions INCLUDING those with **.)						
<u>Subject</u> <u>Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)						
	OT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR ☑ this category, answer <u>all</u> q			), and (d))		
grinding mills; facilities sand & gravel plants, & plants, & crushed stone pl	s: (includes all facilities in und s not subject to subparts F (Po c crushed stone plants w/capac ants w/capacities of 136 mega grams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)	ortland Cement Plants) cities of 23 megagrams agrams/hr (150 tons/hr)	or I (Hot Mix Asphalt I hr (25 tons/hr) or less;	portable sand & gravel		

PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	□ No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other	□ NO
affected emission point:  **a) exceed <b>7</b> % percent opacity?   Yes	□ Na
	∐ No □ No
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	☐ No
bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	☐ No
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.  **1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	□ No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	∐ No
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	_
percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	∐ No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <b>NOT</b> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
	□ No
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.80	0, F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	,
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a))	☐ No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? ( <i>If</i>	
	☐ No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is	:
1) the particulate matter in excess of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	
2) the opacity greater than $7\%$ percent?	☐ No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7% percent opacity?	□ No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity? Yes	□ No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	□ No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
in the production line?   Yes	□ No
in the production line.	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)  1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)   Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)  2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Phylo 62 210 300(4)(c)5.e. F.A.C.	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:  a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?	
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)  3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:  a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?	
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date?	
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.  4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?	
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C. )[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]	
<u>Facility and/or Equipment Replacement</u> **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:	
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	
**b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  Yes No	
**c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated	
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
test?	

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)	
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests	
conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity	
(using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission	
observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with	
	Yes No
Process Changes	,100 1.0
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? ( <i>If your</i>	
	Yes No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	]103 🗀 110
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? ( <i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	lvaa 🖂 No
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Yes No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? ( <i>Note: The saturated</i>	(A) ) )
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(	
	Yes No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	7**
<del>-</del>	]Yes ∐ No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	x
<u> </u>	Yes No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	
	Yes No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	Yes No
PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable ; 2) stationary; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check Zonly one box above.)	
(NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the bo	
stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all	
relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)	
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a	
<del>-</del>	Yes 🗌 No
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsi	ite
	Yes No
1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray	_
bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the	
conveyor drop points?	Yes □ No
c) If this is a <b>stationary facility</b> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water	165 🔲 110
suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),	
	Yes 🗌 No
the classifier sereens and the conveyor drop points:	103 🔲 110

	V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Con	tinued)
(c	Pheck <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
**2.	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Od adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed questions 2.a) and 2.b)</i> , <i>below.</i> )	to
**	*a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	□Yes □ No
	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	
**	*b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	☐Yes ☐ No
	**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
3.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	
	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)	
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	☐Yes ☐ No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	☐Yes ☐ No
	b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	□Yes □ No
	c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
	d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	□Yes □ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes No
	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
5.	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	☐Yes ☐ No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	☐Yes ☐ No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	☐Yes ☐ No
6.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	□Yes □ No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No
7.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (no	ot
	a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
	plant?	☐Yes ☐ No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	
_	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	☐Yes ☐ No
8.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	☐Yes ☐ No
	a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	∐Yes ∐ No

PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION 210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.	CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - I	Rule 62-					
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))							
crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking are 2) application of water or environmentally safe of emissions?	s located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the or drop points?	☐Yes ☐ No Illowing: ☐Yes ☐ No I ☐Yes ☐ No r to ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No					
PART VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been	RES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.						
a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?							
Malik Pickering	9/12/2008						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_					
-	N/A						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_					

**COMMENTS:** When I arrived at the facility, I met with Ron Gibson, who is the operator of the Dragline digging crane at the facility. Ron informed me that this facility was not owned or operated by Grubbs Construction for the past 3 years. He told me that Grubbs filed for bankrupcy and went out of business. The new owner is now Crystal River Rock Quarries. I asked him about the rock crusher that was here and Ron took me to a disabled rock crusher that was next to the Dragline crane. I looked at the crusher and noticed that it is very old and rusted. It had no engine or power supply connected to it. I asked Ron when was the last time they used it and he told me that they have never used it. So with Ron's permission I took a pic of the disabled crusher documented what we discussed, thanked him for his time and left for my next inspection. Boxes are left unchecked because they are not applicable being that the unit is out of service.